UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/575,179 | 01/16/2007 | Atsushi Maeda | 289402US2PCT | 6734 |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LEE, DOUGLAS S | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2121 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/27/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/575,179 | MAEDA, ATSUSHI |
| Examiner-initiated interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | DOUGLAS S. LEE | 2121 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | <u> </u> |
| (1) <u>DOUGLAS S. LEE</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>susan Hockaday Idocket specialist)</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>13 July 2010</u> | Time: <u>4pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: n/a | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI Susan Hockaday (docket specialist) confirms that applicants did (See the interview summary (PTOL-413). | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| (A | applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |